

6-1-1937

The Negro Artist and Racial Bias

James A. Porter

Follow this and additional works at: http://dh.howard.edu/art_fac

 Part of the [Art and Design Commons](#), [Art Practice Commons](#), and the [Fine Arts Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Porter, James A., "The Negro Artist and Racial Bias" (1937). *Department of Art Faculty Publications*. Paper 3.
http://dh.howard.edu/art_fac/3

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Art at Digital Howard @ Howard University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Art Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Howard @ Howard University. For more information, please contact lopez.matthews@howard.edu.

H
M 378M
F833

ART FRONT

10c

JUNE • JULY



ARTISTS-
TOP
HOSE
JTS!

GRABER

NATIONAL ORGANIZATION NOTES

Baltimore

With the possible exception of Minnesota, the Baltimore Artists Union has the largest and broadest cultural program in the entire national organization. Recent developments show a steady growth in the direction of making the union a real cultural force in the community. Its practice of exhibiting in trade union halls has already been commented on in the organization columns. That the Union is keeping the rental issue alive is attested to by the following excerpts from a letter received from the Secretary of the Museum Board:

"At the last meeting of the Board . . . it was agreed that measures must be taken to circumvent the present economic plight of the artist, and the Museum wishes to assist in every degree to find a market for his productions. . . . It is our opinion that an organized effort by the Museum and other art organizations in Baltimore to promote a market for art is not only desirable, but possible, and the Museum is ready to cooperate in any way to further this end."

The Union's well-traveled graphic exhibition is still much in demand with the I.L.C.W.U. and Finnish Hall bidding for it when it closes at the Polish Hall.

Philadelphia

Taking advantage of the procedure outlined in the Wagner Act, the Union is attempting to clear up a bad situation of long standing on the Art Projects of Philadelphia.

The Union has placed the following demands before the local and Federal administration: 1. That the Artists Union, section of the W.P.A., be recognized as the bargaining agency for artists on projects in the Philadelphia district. 2. That a regular weekly meeting of the Artists Union, W.P.A. section, be arranged with the local art project administrator at which time grievances and proposals are to be heard. 3. Placement of artists on those projects with which they are most compatible. 4. That quality of art work rather than quantity be the goal of the projects. 5. That the Federal Art ruling causing a worker to be fired if absent from work for more than five days, even though due to illness, be rescinded at once.

Continual violation of the fundamental rights of employees working under her, combined with lack of cooperation with community agencies to best utilize the facilities of the Art Project, have compelled the Union to demand the removal of Mrs. Curran, local Federal Art Project Director.

At a closed hearing, ninety pages of testimony were taken substantiating charges made by the Union. Although the meeting was held by the Philadelphia Union, many non-Union members appeared to testify. Dr. Barnes, of the Barnes Foundation, appeared at the meeting to urge removal of Mrs. Curran. Mr. Parker attended the meeting as an observer representing the Washington administration. The charges, along with the testimony, will be forwarded to Washington with a demand for the removal of Mrs. Curran.

Chicago

A situation similar to that in Philadelphia exists in Chicago. The Director of the Federal Art Project in Chicago, Increase Robinson, has stubbornly refused to comply with procedure established by Washington regulating labor relations.

She has manifested such a strong anti-Union bias that redress of grievances of the artists working under her has become impossible.

After Peterpaul Otts, Supervisor of Sculpture, was demoted because of his Union membership, the Union clearly saw in this latest manifestation of discrimination a challenge to end this situation once and for all. The Union is fighting for reinstatement of Otts to his former position and will prepare a case against Increase Robinson demanding her removal.

C O R R E S P O N D E N C E

To the Editors of ART FRONT:

The review of George Plekhanov's *Art and Society* in the May ART FRONT calls for comment. Reviewer Mr. Phillips has not only grievously distorted Plekhanov's acute analysis of the relation between social forces and art, but he has brought into question the whole functional or "utilitarian" value of art, in particular, of revolutionary art; and this in the name of Marxism!

It is essential to examine the nature of Mr. Phillips' confusion. Surely art must be regarded either as an end in itself or in some sense as useful, and, we may suppose, socially useful. In what sense, then, is art socially useful? Not, certainly, in the sense of the "consumer-philosophy" which Mr. Phillips wrongly imputes to Plekhanov. Plekhanov defines the utilitarian view as the tendency to regard works of art as "judgments on the phenomena of life," judgments which, simply because they are such, act as a social force, and act, we may add, in direct relation to the artistic merit of the works in which they are embodied. That the kind of judgment rendered, the nature of the ideas expressed, has a definite relation to artistic merit is unquestionable. Plekhanov, who was writing, it should be remembered, in the first decade of the century, is rather suggestive than definitive in his attempt to analyze this relation. His purpose, however, in the essays under review, was not primarily to discuss the many problems connected with evaluation of artistic merit. He was primarily concerned to determine the kind of relation which does in fact exist between social conditions and the subject-matter and theory of art. The fundamental validity of his conclusions, despite certain ambiguities in formulation, has not yet been superseded. We can agree with Mr. Phillips, and Plekhanov says nothing to the contrary, that the unimaginative preaching called didacticism has no place in art. The function of art is not to give either moral or political formulae, but through the aesthetic presentation of experience "to further the development of human consciousness." Mr. Phillips, we surmise, would not admit that he is an advocate of art for art's sake, if only for the reason that at the present historical juncture the theory is too patently useful to the bourgeoisie. But what Mr. Phillips does advocate remains buried darkly behind unmoored terms such as "value judgments" and "special vision" of the artist.

"Are we to assume that a revolutionary art is to be utilitarian?" Mr. Phillips asks the question with rhetorical incredulity. Yet since there has never been a significant art that was not utilitarian in the proper sense of that word applied to art, we may answer Mr. Phillips, his misused quotation from Marx notwithstanding: By all means let us have a revolutionary art which is useful to the revolution, an art which will in Lenin's words "become a part of the general cause of the proletariat." This, Mr. Phillips' insidious distinction to the contrary, is the spirit and also the letter of Marxism.

Katherine Ellis
James Grunbaum.

To the Editors of ART FRONT

By failing to cite instances of "distortion" in my review, Miss Ellis and Mr. Gunbaum seem to imply that they are self-evident. They merely assert that Plekhanov's analysis of the relation between art and society is "acute," without so much as referring to the vast number of specific ideas and general principles in the essays. Surely, as Marxists, they cannot believe in the infallibility of Plekhanov; nor can they believe that all the problems of Marxist criticism have been solved for all time. That Plekhanov did contribute much to the Marxist study of art is, of course, undeniable, and I might remind Miss Ellis and Mr. Grunbaum that the first half of my review is a summary of this contribution.

On the question of Utilitarianism, I assume that anyone who considered himself a Marxist was aware that the theory of utilitarianism, whether in art or philosophy, is alien to Marxism. (And the quotation from Marx, notwithstanding the charge of "misuse," is taken from an extended attack on Bentham's philosophy of utility.) I was more interested in showing how Plekhanov's almost complete absorption in the *sociology of art* led him to an esthetic of utility, and how this, in turn, involved him in all kinds of contradictions and distortions of the nature of art. The history of art is one long refutation of Plekhanov's dictum that "when a work of art is based upon a fallacious idea, inherent contradictions cause a degeneration of its esthetic quality." Are not the works of Dostoyevski and Proust and Cezanne based on socially fallacious ideas?—fallacious, that is, from the standpoint of Marxism. Read Marx on Balzac or on Greek art, and you get the answer to this low. In fact, Plekhanov's own remarks on the French romantics (mentioned in my review) contradict his equation of esthetic quality with immediate social meaning. Similarly, Miss Ellis and Mr. Grunbaum would have to rewrite the history of literature in order to prove their dogmatic assertion that "there has never been a significant art that was not utilitarian in the proper sense of that word applied to art." If space permitted one might examine the distinction between the idea that art has social value—or that it can be put to social uses—and the notion that all art must be utilitarian. Unfortunately, Miss Ellis and Mr. Grunbaum confuse these two ideas: when they emphasize the first no Marxist could quarrel with them, but when they shift into the idea of utilitarianism they come close to the sectarian esthetic of Upton Sinclair.

I should like to point out that Miss Ellis and Mr. Grunbaum misinterpret the only statement they seem to approve in my review. I did not say that "didacticism has no place in art"; obviously, there have been many important didactic works. What I did say is that an entire art cannot be compressed into the genre of didacticism. It is ironic that those who defend a utilitarian view of art should at the same time be so unalterably opposed to didacticism.

William Phillips.

134107

CULTURE FOR AMERICA

IS UNDER FIRE — An Editorial

THE four Art Projects of the Works Progress Administration of the Federal Government are in danger. The life blood and the main stream of culture in our country is in danger. The cultural heritage of generations of Americans as yet unborn is in danger. *We call upon all America to consider this threat.*

A few short weeks ago a budget message was presented to the Congress of the United States by President Roosevelt. This budget asked that \$1,500,000 be set aside for the financing of the Federal Program for Work Relief (Works Progress Administration) for the coming fiscal year. This budget represented a reduction of approximately three-quarters of a million dollars from the sum considered necessary to carry out this work during the present fiscal year. In terms of maintaining human life it means that over one-half million people will be thrown out of work—out of their means of livelihood. For these people and for those tens of thousands of professional and small business Americans who have depended upon W.P.A. wages to keep their businesses going, this is a program of starvation.

Serious as this situation is, there are those in this country who have attempted, with considerable success, to inflict even worse conditions. Inspired by reactionary employers, whose program for the discontinuation of W.P.A. was decisively defeated in the national elections last November, Democrats and Republicans in Congress have ganged up on the administration for the purpose of hamstringing an already inadequate program. At the time of writing these vicious 'representatives of the people' have succeeded in earmarking \$500,000,000 of the total for pork barrel purposes in order to build their local political machines. Under this program *at least 800,000 W.P.A. workers will lose their jobs* and the purchasing power of the nation drastically reduced.

The Federal Art Project is slated for cuts along with the rest of W.P.A. According to the present situation the minimum cut to be inflicted upon this project will be a 25 per cent reduction in the number employed. However, it is much more likely that the order, when it comes, will call for a much higher percentage than this mini-

mum and may even reach the figure of 50 per cent.

It is common knowledge to both the artists and administration that the potential victims among the artists can find no other employment. Consequently the cuts will have to be entirely arbitrary in character and ruthless in effect. Over 2,000 artists are about to be thrown out of their jobs into starvation.

But this is not all. The dismissal of these artists will mean the emasculation and demolition of the first and brilliant beginnings in the building of a truly democratic culture for our country. Hundreds of thousands of children, living in the slum areas of New York, Chicago and other large centers will find the doors of their beloved art rooms closed and locked. In the deep South, the mountain people and the Negro people will be turned back and repulsed by the 'for rent' signs which will be hung on the Federal Art Centers. Trade unionists will no longer be able to see exhibitions in their union hall; city departments of Health and Safety will chart increases in the death rate from both disease and accidents for they will no longer be able to institute public educational campaigns through the poster artists of the Federal Art Project. In thousands of communities teachers who have never yet had the opportunity of seeing an original work of art, will continue to inflict, through no fault of their own, their cultural barrenness upon the children of our land.

A great nation will begin its rapid march backward.

In considering this, we must ask if all this is necessary. Hardly, for in this country of ours there are wealthy people who each year have managed to criminally evade payment of taxes which in aggregate total more than enough to continue the W.P.A. at its present level of employment. More than this, if the huge incomes of these persons were to be properly and justly taxed in accordance with their ability to pay, the entire program could be continued, expanded to its proper functioning, and be made permanent.

One hundred years ago, the ancestors of our present economic royalists fought the institution of a free and public educational

system for America. Today their descendants are attempting to decree, through their lackeys in Congress, that the people of an entire nation shall be stripped naked of their aspirations for a better and a richer cultural life. These ardent protagonists of culture, draped in their gift cheques, stained with the blood of the workers who fought them to build the American trade unions, fondly imagine that culture can be safely confined to the museums.

They were defeated one hundred years ago on the issue of public education. *They can and must be defeated today on the issue of a free and public culture for all Americans.*

Upon the organized artists, fighting under the leadership of the Artists Union and in conjunction with all other W.P.A. workers organized in the Workers Alliance of America, will fall the direct brunt of this attack. We can state that we are ready for it. Never before have we understood the issues as we do today. We have built our determination and our strength to an unprecedented high level and we have tested our forces in the past few weeks in a number of preliminary skirmishes.

In New York, the Artists Union conducted a nineteen-hour sit-in demonstration against the cuts and for an adequate program. The New York Union has also carried through a one-day strike which was 100 per cent effective. We are prepared to carry through a three-day stoppage and, if necessary, a strike, to protect our right to live and the right of the working and middle classes of America to a decent and public cultural life.

We call upon every trade unionist, upon every cultured and decent citizen of our country to come to our support. We are not fighting for ourselves alone. We are fighting for you and for the generations of Americans that are to come. Today is a historic day deciding the future course of life in our country. In the name of democracy we call upon you to act now, to send us material aid, to support us by asking the administration of President Roosevelt for the enactment of an adequate program for W.P.A., to support us by putting your Congressmen and Senators on record of favoring an adequate W.P.A. and an expanded and permanent Federal Art Project.

**ACTION AGAINST REACTION.
FOR A FREE AND PUBLIC CULTURE
IN AMERICA.**

*National Steering Committee
Artists Union of America,
Executive Board
New York Artists Union*

AN APPEAL

by

Max Weber



The artist is on the march abreast with all progressive forces of our time. The artist is determined to fight for his rights as an individual and as a member of the civilized world. For this reason he has organized, and is carrying on a brave struggle, the struggle to maintain himself in economic self respect and as a creator of plastic beauty and spiritual harmony.

He is no longer the defeatist. He expects more than the pauper's share in return for his contribution to the social, industrial and cultural spheres of our common life. His right to a better and happier life is unquestionable and the traditional quibbling on the merit of his claims and his right to assert himself are meaningless when a just estimate is taken of what the artist has contributed even in the

field of industrial design in the last two decades. Who can deny that the artist is largely responsible for the new and simplified design in construction of buildings, of furniture, of textiles, of decoration in almost every field? And last, his greatest triumph of all, the brilliant achievement of the artists of America who have made the Federal Art Project of the federal government a people's charter for the future of America.

The greedy and unscrupulous economic royalists are now in the business of scraping and destroying this work of beauty for democracy. By doing this they again betray their contempt for all the sources for spiritual integrity and plastic beauty which spring from the people as a whole and betray again their wish to inflict cul-

tural as well as actual starvation upon these people.

I appeal to all, in the name of human liberty and culture, to aid the fight of the artists to preserve and to develop the Federal Art Project. I see no other way than to gird ourselves and give until this great progressive cause has been won. Tomorrow may be too late. Today the Artists Union are fighting this fight for all of us. We must aid them to our utmost.

MAX WEBER

Artists Union Emergency Action Fund,
430 Sixth Ave.
New York

I enclose \$..... as my contribution to the
Emergency Action Fund.

Name

Address

City State

To the American People

We are facing a grave crisis in the cultural life of our country. Upon its outcome depends the advance or destruction of an unparalleled movement toward true democracy in education, toward an awakening of the creative abilities of an entire people. The cultural projects of WPA are directly threatened by the present curtailment of the work relief program of the Federal Government.

The WPA cultural projects were established three years ago to give employment to artists, writers, musicians, dancers, theatre workers and teachers. No one but the workers themselves realized the tremendous implications of the program as then outlined. They alone did not regard the project merely as a means to rescue them from their desperate economic misery. They saw a chance to transform the whole system of free education, to make art,

literature, music, the dance and theatre available to the masses of American people in ways that had never before been possible. Their efforts have evoked the admiration of the entire world. Hundreds of splendid murals, monuments, paintings and graphic works; concerts of classic and modern music for hundreds of thousands of new listeners; a renaissance in the dance; writers and teachers revolutionizing methods of instruction for the children, men and women of an entire nation, these are very broadly some of their accomplishments under government sponsorship. Never before has so vital a relationship been achieved between the artist and the public, never before has he accepted and met his great civic responsibility with such honesty and energy.

We cannot stand by while this victory for American culture is nullified. We in-

sist that the government no longer treat the cultural projects lightly, merely as a question of unemployment. These projects must not just be extended from one half year to another. Their successes must be consolidated as a permanent feature of our national life, a feature of which we can be proud and to which the whole world will look to emulate us. To destroy this program, on the false plea of economy, would be to show contempt for the intellectual pride and the democratic spirit of this country.

The Federal Government and Congress must face the responsibility which they have accepted, of providing for the economic and spiritual well being of the citizens. They must establish these projects on a basis which will make them for all time accessible to everyone, the greatest force, in extent and quality, for the cultural emancipation of the American people.

Winslow Ames
 Sherwood Anderson
 Roger Baldwin
 Alfred M. Bingham
 Van Wyck Brooks
 Horace Britt
 Alfred Vance Churchill
 Congressman Emanuel Celler
 Prof. George S. Counts
 Kyle Crichton
 Malcolm Cowley
 Victor D'Amico
 Stuart Davis
 Muriel Draper
 Dr. A. L. Goldwater
 Percival Goodman
 William Gropper
 Charles J. Hendley
 B. W. Huebsch
 Dr. Joseph Hudnut
 Rev. Wm. Lloyd Imes
 Joe Jones
 Frederick Kiesler
 Wm. H. Kilpatrick
 Jerome Klein
 Yasuso Kuniyoshi
 Max Lerner
 William Lescaze
 Irene Lewisohn
 Prof. Charles J. Martin
 Lewis Mumford
 Isamu Noguchi
 Congressman Donald L. O'Toole
 Walter Pach

Ralph Pearson
 A. G. Pelikan
 Henry Varnum Poor
 F. Clayton Powell
 Oliver M. Sayler
 Lee Simonson
 Sergei Soudeikine
 Isobel Walker Soule
 Mark Van Doren
 Edgar Varèse
 Lynd Ward
 James Waterman Wise
 Dean Mary E. Woolley
 Art Young
 Carl Zigrosser
 William Zorach

Dr. Philip N. Youtz

"I am doing all in my power to protect the art projects of the Works Progress Administration. The American Federation of Arts is deeply interested in seeing this fine work carried out under Mr. Hopkins continued, for we believe it will greatly enrich the life of the nation.

"I am sorry that my Board will not allow me to sign the accompanying statement but you will see that I am doing my part to preserve the cultural projects of the Works Progress Administration."

Cordially, Philip N. Youtz.

Prof. Harry Overstreet

"It would be an utter shame to discontinue the cultural projects of the W.P.A. under a false face of economy. These projects have been of immeasurable value to the country. As Americans, we should be proud to assert that even in times of finan-

cial distress, we do not live by bread alone."

Signed H. Overstreet.

Alfred H. Barr, Jr.

"I am entirely with you in your belief that the cultural projects of the W.P.A. should not be curtailed. I have listened to W.P.A. music, seen twice as many W.P.A. plays as commercial plays, and studied with interest a large number of murals, easel paintings and sculpture, both good and bad. I am delighted to recall that our Museum has given its entire galleries to two exhibitions of government projects.

"I cannot, however, agree with you that 'No one but the workers themselves realized the tremendous implications of the program.' It seems to me that no one really realized the implications, but that the administration and other interested and disinterested people are just as aware of the potentialities as the workers. You will excuse my disagreeing with you on a minor point. I repeat that I am greatly encouraged by the quality of the W.P.A. work, and believe that it would be a serious blow to American culture if it were to be discontinued."

Sincerely yours,

Signed Alfred H. Barr, Jr.

Ford Madox Ford

"You are at liberty to use all these signatures for publication—Caroline Gordon, Allen Tate, Biala."

Signed F. M. Ford.

To the FEDERAL ART PROJECT WORKERS

A Statement by the Public Use of Art Committee

THE Public Use of Art Committee identifies itself not only with the life of the Federal Art Project but also with the cultural progress of the entire country. This committee shows with more clarity than any other organizational step heretofore adopted, the concrete way in which the project artists can gain the most powerful social forces to their side, and also indicates how a cultural movement affecting the broadest masses possible can be obtained. In reality, it is a single step; the struggle for an ever wider audience is the best guarantee of our jobs. The Public Use of Art Committee's main function is to realize this audience. Although the Committee was created only a short time ago, it is already sufficiently rich in experience to reinforce with new content the demands of the project for continuation and expansion. For the first time the trade unions, the art departments of the high schools in New York, the factories, the semi-tax supported institutions, the State Labor Department and the city administration itself, have been contacted. The enthusiastic reaction to the perspectives as laid down by the committee proves beyond doubt that not only an interest but a need for art exists in the public educational centers, the trade unions, etc. But, ironically enough, Washington desires to curtail this work. Why has the administration failed to open

the flood gates of this vast field of demand? Because curtailment here would be in flagrant contradiction of the obvious need for expansion. Why has the committee been forced, in essence, to accomplish what is ordinarily the elementary task of the administration?

Obviously the administration is not sufficiently concerned with new fields where art can become a deep educational factor. (For instance, a preliminary contact with the art chairman of the New York City high schools reveals startling results; letters pouring in, asking in effect how our listing of proposed services can be had; a reprint of our letter by Dr. Forest Grant, Art Director of all New York City high schools in the Board of Education's official Bulletin, insuring a reading by every art teacher in the city, this for the first time placing the Board of Education in a position where, in voicing the needs of its faculty, it admits the positive advantage of a permanent art project.)


The administration is interested essentially in one thing: in cutting its expenditures to the bone.

Isn't it true that thus far our art works have been restricted to a minimum audience? Where are the vast majority of the people? Certainly they are to be found in the trade unions, in the factories, in the schools, subways, and in the parks. These

are the people who in reality pay our salaries, not the administrator of some tax-supported building. These are the people before whom we wish to exhibit our work. We, as artists and socially responsible human beings, point to the men and women in the trade unions, to our youth in the schools as the natural inheritors of a culture to which we contribute.

Only the artists themselves can see their way clear, can create a progressive set of demands not only in terms of their own development but in relation to the interest and requirements of the widest possible public. As proposed by the Public Use of Art Committee, they call for a continuation by the administration of the survey begun by us. Secondly, because of the unprecedented response to our work revealed in initial contacts, we demand that our local administrator register this fact in Washington; hence our third demand, for increased appropriations in order to adequately supply this newly recognized need.

The Public Use of Art Committee must be actively supported by every artist on the job. It must not be regarded as a top committee functioning through the medium of conferences. On the contrary, in our opinion it is a new militant organizational form, and an outgrowth on the cultural front of our picket lines, demonstrations and sit-ins. In the fight for expansion and permanence



*Acquisition of Coney Island: JAMES D. BROOKS
Federal Art Project Mural (Casein Tempera) in Woodside Library, Long Island*

of the art projects, the Public Use of Art Committee is an effective lever which swings over to our side ever new sections of the people, by the simple fact of making them aware of their own needs and interests, of their own hitherto dormant capacity for art appreciation and enjoyment. What we want is a culture which does not equivocally exist in a precious atmosphere but one which penetrates into the everyday life of our society. This coincides with the requirements of the largest number of people, for only then can the laboring masses assimilate and become an integral part of the cultural life of the country.

All artists solidly behind the program of the Public Use of Art Committee.

Easel and graphic artists—ten of your fellow artists have gone as an organized body to their supervisors and demanded that he cooperate by assigning them to do dictures of the New York subway for a proposed exhibition at the Transport Workers Union. This request was granted.

Follow this excellent example—organize in groups, develop plans, place your proposals before your supervisor, begin to fulfill the requests for exhibitions from the Pullman Car Porters Union, Locals of the I.L.G.W.U., Bricklayers Locals, etc. We are

going in the right direction. This is our fight on the cultural front.

The Public Use of Art Committee is planning an exhibition of murals and sculpture for the subways, which will prove the practicability of its program for expansion. This is one of the most serious steps undertaken by our committee. It demands from you equally serious work. Make your contribution to this exhibit your finest effort. It is through steps of such a nature that we make felt our central demands: EXPANSION, PERMANENCE OF THE PROJECT.

Some Letters Received by the Committee

Resolution on the Development of Federal W.P.A. Cultural and Educational Projects into a Federal Department of Cultural-Educational Work, Passed Unanimously by the Board of Directors of the National Federation of Settlements.

The Board of Directors of the National Federation of Settlements herewith registers its conviction that public unemployment relief in the form of wages for work socially useful is the outstanding contribution of the past four years in meeting the horrors of economic catastrophe. The use of the services of a proportion of unemployed men and women to safeguard and improve the conditions of life of those working class families hardest hit by the depression is one of the finest aspects of work relief. The building of roads and other large scale improvements which bring ease and pleasure to possessing groups not critically affected by the depression would have been piling injustice upon injustice. That unemployed have been set to create public playgrounds, parks and housing in working class areas has been real gain.

The allocation of the service of a considerable number of unemployed professional people and artists to neighborhoods in which the unemployed live is to this Board a particularly sound principle. Settlement workers know, as a result of fifty years of service spent in depressed areas in different parts of the United States, that such localities are pitifully understaffed in the fields of medicine, nursing, recreation direction and leadership in music, art and handicraft, dance, drama, literature, science and economics and social meetings.

Before the depression settlements had brought into being in widely scattered localities across the country a number of significant cultural institutions, i.e., music schools, art schools and exhibition rooms, little theatres, dance studios, gymnasium and athletic centers, educational centers in which young people and adults met for study, discussion and social recreation. Enterprises such as these ministered to considerable minorities. Their essential purpose was to serve as models for public action. They indicate what public educational-cultural institutions the public authorities could and should provide.

The lot of vast numbers of people living in tenement areas under home and work relief, supplemented by the new educational and cultural opportunities provided by Federal, State and city cultural projects, is markedly better than it was in the boom days of the late 1920's. The splendid

human results already apparent from beginnings made in the fields of slum clearance, housing and government support of education and recreation have demonstrated beyond any doubt that it is vitally important for the nation to take a hand in stimulating local communities to move more rapidly in these directions.

This Board believes that when the gains of the past four years are properly evaluated the contribution made by the Federal cultural projects will be found to be among the most significant, lasting and productive.

Settlements welcome every sign that the depression is passing. But they would like to see national and state departments of cultural education established to consolidate the gains made during the past four years. There seems little possibility that even the most competent W.P.A. artists, musicians, dancers, actors and recreational leaders, many of whom have acquired valuable skill during their depression service, now serving in settlements and public institutions, can at this time find places in private schools or in industry. Artists and educators must be assured certainty in their employment of at least a year or two if their service is to be of good quality. The members of this Board are quite aware that there are great differences in the quality of the work done in the above fields in different parts of the country. But their experience shows that with proper administrative safeguards, a sound and advancing scheme to raise the level of the cultural life of the nation can be devised and put into operation.

We therefore urge the Federal government to allocate monies to be used as grants in aid to continue to employ teachers and practitioners of the arts, education and recreation of high competence. The work of such leaders should be administered by persons of undoubted accomplishment and standing in their fields. This staff should have the assistance of unpaid advisory boards of professional leaders, one of whose duties should be to help devise programs to improve the conditions of life in working class areas and to discover and bring out the native powers of our children and youth. We urge especially that those practitioners and administrators of undoubted capacity developed under W.P.A. be held together and used as a nucleus for such a national department. We further suggest that relief authorities be instructed to find employment in other fields or to provide relief for those incompetent persons who have been included in artistic projects because their need demanded that relief be found for them.

This Board hereby places on record its opinion that a relapse into the cultural barrenness of 1930 after what has been accomplished since 1933 would be one of the tragedies of the unemployment debacle.

Helen Hall, New York,
President.

Excerpts from address of Robert Kohn, former head of National Housing Commission, and president of American Association of Architects, at preview and dinner arranged by Visual Arts Committee of United Neighborhood Houses, Inc., Monday evening, May 10, at University Settlement, 184 Eldridge Street.

How can we help to keep alive the impulse given to widespread training in design, made possible by the work relief activities of W.P.A. during the last few years? If it is true that prosperity is rapidly overtaking us, does that mean that we will no longer be able to afford the "extravagance" of offering training to ordinary every-day people in line, form, color, music, drama, organized play and the dance? Must all of us work to maintain the depression at its deepest in order not to lose for all time the invaluable impulse given to the spread of the seeds of culture in the larger community where alone it can grow? It seems as if we were faced by an absurd paradox. When we thought we were very poor we were richer than ever before in opportunities for the evocation of new talents, and now that we are told we are rapidly achieving prosperity, we see ourselves drifting back into the intellectual poverty of the isolated, unreal and undemocratic "preciousness" of ART. Must we repeat the experience of post-war days? Then almost every cooperative educational effort initiated for and justified in the name of war-time emergency was, almost shamefacedly, abandoned. That same enthusiasm (some of it bred of fear) was back of certain precious depression activities and we will have an even greater difficulty to distinguish and affirm the valuable from the emergency improvisations because of the idiotic catchword, "Boondoggling," indiscriminately applied to every kind of relief that was not pick and shovel ditch-digging. And back of this cheap sneer at everything in relief work which had in

(Continued on page 17)

The Negro Artist and Racial Bias

By JAMES A. PORTER

THE relatively late prominence of the Negro artist in American artistic events has stimulated curiosity about his origins, his heritage, and his future. Most of the curiosity has been directed upon his future. Arguments have ensued, and champions have risen to defend one side or the other of the central question concerned in the Negro artist's future. For example, the value of African Negro art to the American Negro artist has now, as an argument, almost reached the proportions of a *cause celebre*. A number of critics, artists, and laymen have stated their opinions about it in print; and the discussion still goes on more or less warmly in the studios, exhibition and lecture halls.

Dr. Alain Leroy Locke's recent pamphlet, *Negro Art: Past and Present*,* is intended to bolster his already wide reputation as a champion of Africanism in Negro art. This little pamphlet, just off the press, is one of the greatest dangers to the Negro artist to arise in recent years. It contains a narrow racialist point of view, presented in seductive language, and with all the presumption that is characteristic of the American "gate-crasher." Dr. Locke supports the defeatist philosophy of the "Segregationist." A segregated mind, he implies, is only the natural accompaniment of a segregated body. Weakly, he has yielded to the insistence of the white segregationist that there are inescapable internal differences between white and black, so general that they cannot be defined, so particular that they cannot be reduced through rational investigation.

The fundamental question in this controversy is of a two-fold character: What may constitute a distinctive contribution by the American Negro artist to American culture? And could it have been made prior to the advent of the so-called Negro Renaissance? Those who have taken the racialist point of view maintain that no truly distinctive contribution was made by the Negro to world culture prior to the rediscovery of African Negro art by certain European artists and critics of art. Secondly, they insist that by distinctiveness is meant the resemblance of painting or sculpture

by Negroes to African art forms or to the more or less abstract patterns made by the Cubists and their successors. The Negro artist, they declare, should bear in mind his "inherent dependency" upon African art forms.

It is possible to understand how a critic who has chosen to place the genesis of the Negro's cultural contribution in the first twenty-five years of this century could ignore all that had been accomplished by the Negro on American soil in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth centuries. It is possible to understand his indifference to the small but genuine achievement of the many early American Negroes whose legacy extends the blackman's cultural history back into the Eighteenth century. There were, for example, Negro craftsmen and artists who, had they white skins, would have been received by the irascible John Trumbull into the first National Academy of Design. Freed of his racial prejudice, William Dunlap would have written something concerning the early black as well as the early white craftsmen and artists. What does it mean to the racialist that Gilbert Stuart received his first lesson in drawing from a Negro slave? Or that Nelson A. Primus painted white subjects as successfully as he did black? Does he know that Governor DeWitt Clinton of New York sat to a black man for his portrait? Voluntarily wearing "blinkers," he shuts out from view the long procession of artists and writers whose work in sum represents a brilliant introduction to the Negro Renaissance,—artists like Reason, Smith, Douglass, Duncanson, Simpson, Chaplin, Dorsey, Bowser, Edmonia Lewis, Harper, Tanner, Brown and many others. The writers we have not space to mention.

✓ We shall not have gained a true perspective of the position of the early Negro artist until we have realized how closely bound up were his activities with the great social questions that racked the American conscience in the Nineteenth century. The question of slavery with its corollaries, colonization and abolition, employed the talents of Negro artists as well as writers. Indeed, Negro historians have not canvassed the question thoroughly until they show this among other dynamic elements that motivated the anti-slavery move-

ment. Furthermore, it is a mistake on the part of the racialists to look back upon the cultural aspirations of the first free Negroes as evidence of class privilege. On the contrary, such aspirations amounted to a bold assertion of freedom, a protest against the shackles of slavery or the opprobrium attaching to membership in a despised race. Even though their art and literature were surcharged with propaganda they represented the conviction of truth over against a hell of prejudice.

✓ Another serious error of the segregationists is their disbelief in the native (non-professional) craft arts or in the Negro spirituals as aspects of culture. In other words, we have here a perpetuation of the idea of spiritual separatism to an absurd degree. If the Negro spirituals are true only of a slave society they must be true of any similar group of people, similarly conditioned anywhere in the world. There are many reasons why these two categories of early production can be counted on the credit side of Negro cultural achievement. Assessed for æsthetic, social, and intrinsic racial values, they are found to contain generous proportions of each. Moreover, they contain these qualities in forms that are useful and inspirational to other races. Evidently the segregationists wish to deny the historical fact of incipient cultural movements among enslaved peoples. They forget that in ancient Rome the art of writing was the special province of the slave. They do not know that countless slaves have won in many periods and places, and under the most trying conditions of persecution, freedom, honor and respect through cultural achievement alone.

✓ Another serious error which is made by the racialists is to urge the Negro artist to adopt the forms used by the African artist. The Negro artist who "adopts" such forms or imposes them upon his ideas and the objects that embody them gives birth to sterile art which will not have the crucial exigency that we associate with the arts of the African tribes. The "primitive" artist, whether African or Polynesian, is at one with the forms he uses because these are dictated by the society in which he finds himself, which in turn is modified by surrounding climatic and geographical conditions. To learn the truth of this one need

* *Bronze Booklet No. 3*. Published by the Associates in Negro Folk Education. P.O. Box 636, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C.

only seek to establish the style-history of a given section of African art. It is then that the questions of material culture and of cultural necessity arise.

It has been clearly shown by reputable anthropologists that the belief that one race is fundamentally different in mind from another is baseless. If this is true, the special qualities of tribal art must result not from any unique racial character, but from influential phases within the particular culture from which it comes. Thus, Cubism is not merely the result of the impact of African forms upon European art-practice. Could Cubist art have been produced in Dahomey? From this evident impossibility it is clear that no common denominator exists within racial or territorial cultural activities unless it be that of common social, economic and political concerns upon a more or less uniform level of civilization.

Negro artists who have received the inspiration of African art in a purely formal way have failed to convince us that the resulting work is any better than American or European adaptations of Greek or Roman form-language. When they point indiscriminately to European artists who have been influenced by the African "discovery" they betray their lack of information. For by now it should be known that the most significant primitivistic artists made of their conduct toward problems of form an analogue of the creative process of which they postulated African art the result. So that Modigliani, Picasso, Brancusi and others sought to identify their emotional experience with objects of aesthetic character or objects amenable to a pervading conception of aesthetic surface. They did not merely imitate African forms. It is with genuine disappointment that the segregationist should observe that in each case the "primitivizing" artist had to rely upon academic techniques to define his objects clearly. The principle to be deduced from the foregoing is that the immobility of African forms cannot again become mobilized until it is identified with the very stuff of our existence.

In his book, *The New Negro*, Dr. Locke has asked that young Negro artists take as their model the American Taos group of artists of whom Walter Ufer was the leader. Their painting of the American Indian, he thought, represented the proper point of departure for the Negro. But he promptly turned to a Swedish draftsman who had a fair facility of hand at drawing romanticized versions of Mexicans, Laplanders and Norwegians, as the ideal person to adorn *The New Negro* with portraits of Negro leaders. Such an action represents an unfortunate inconsistency of policy; and it is not difficult to reconcile it with such a piece of self-effacement as the following

excerpts from the pamphlet above-mentioned:

"...A real and vital racialism in art is a sign of artistic objectivity and independence and gives evidence of a double emancipation from apologetic timidity and academic imitativeness..."

Which is followed by the unexplained contradiction:

"... In fact, except for closer psychological contact and understanding, the relation of the Negro artist to racial subject matter is not so very different from that of his white fellow artist to the same material..."

To dispose of the dependent question: what makes for a distinctive contribution of the Negro artist to American culture, we must note first of all that reasoning like the foregoing requires it to be socially exclusive, racially self-conscious, formal in style and content, and politically neutral. We have only to examine the work of the most sincere Negro artists now at work to find the refutation of these conclusions. For example, among the sculptors, the best are those who have been open-minded with regard to choice of subject-matter. They have insisted, at the same time, upon making up their own minds, and in so doing they have been able to learn a great deal



Bronze Head: CLARENCE LAWSON
Courtesy James A. Porter

In Memoriam
Henry Ossawa Tanner
1859—1937

more about their *metier*. Elizabeth Prophet and Augusta Savage have, like Meta Warrick Fuller and May Howard Jackson before them, studied the psychological as well as the formal aspects of Negro illustration and interpretation. In addition to this they have tried to make their interpretations universal in value. It is as if in reply to suspicious questioning they had said, "Hath not a Negro senses? affections? passions?" Is he not subject to the same diseases, hurt with the same weapons and warmed and cooled by the same winter and summer as his Anglo-Saxon brother? The presence among the Negro artists of such versatile as well as socially-minded individuals as Hale Woodruff, W. E. Scott, Charles Alston, Aaron Douglass, Henry Bannarn, Sargent Johnson, Lois M. Jones and James A. Porter is an indication of an anti-racialist front. These young people are impressed with the richness and the variety of life and the urgency of the material problems that they must solve. They will not cringe or be driven into a tight and unworthy little compartment of aesthetic production.

Finally, it is with hope that one contemplates the variety of the present interest manifested in Negro art. It is good that the artist is not totally preoccupied with the expression of vapid abstractions, or the imposing of a specious "Africanism" upon his art. One does not find a strictly "racial" artist anywhere. In the great cosmopolitan centers like New York he is more than a studio artist. There, one finds him making social cartoons, decorative sculpture; anecdotal subjects of wide commentary fall from his pen. He is a portrait painter and a sculptor of animals. He experiments with styles, and works conscientiously to found a style. In rural districts or in small ingrown communities like Charleston, South Carolina, one may find him preoccupied with landscapes, the suburban atmosphere. There he may be characteristically a painter of still life and in type a formalist painter with naive technical traits. A self-taught Negro sculptor in Richmond, Virginia, has attracted considerable notice through his direct and vivid carvings in wood, whose subjects are almost invariably stoutish women whom he has observed in the street. Without ever having seen the work of Gaston Lachaise he had hit upon precisely the same conception of form for which Lachaise had won wide acclaim. We may rely very largely upon individual and environmental factors to determine the nature of real contributions to American culture.

Prehistoric Rock Pictures

By CHARMION VON WIEGAND

WHILE the expansionist movement of imperialism in Europe in the 19th and 20th centuries was occupied with the conquest of markets and colonies in the pre-industrialized sections of Asia and Africa, the same impulse in science was pushing back the then known boundaries of the physical universe and exploring ever further into the past history of mankind. Successive new archaeological discoveries greatly enlarged our perspective of the past. Pompei and Herculaneum disinterred gave us back the intimate luxuries of the Roman world; Schliemann taking his Iliad as literal truth conjured up the Homeric Age from the ruins of Troy and Mycenae. Egypt's mighty past was unrolled in a series of excavations lasting down to the present moment. But even further back there existed prehistoric man with no written records. The skeletons of the Neanderthal and Cro-Magnan man predicated a savage animal existence without any cultural activity.

In 1895, Europe was startled by the announcement that in the Altamira Caves in Spain, first opened in 1867, there existed animal paintings of wonderful artistry and that these were not products of some itinerant later artist but actual relics of a civilization that existed over 20,000 years ago. Scientists brought up on the Darwinian theory of evolution were immediately antagonistic, for here was no primitive or savage painting but highly coordinated artistic creation. These monumental bison were not only on an artistic level with subsequent delineation of animal life but actually in some ways superior. Here was an art that used impressionist methods to portray the essential form that had mastered the rendition of muscular tension and organic vitality.

The theory of the time held that this great art of the Ice Age found in the French and Spanish caves had perished at the end of the glacial period. Certainly Neolithic art, formal and static in pattern, revealed no trace of its influence. But Leo Frobenius, a young student of anthropology, who was present when the letter of Riviere, the French discoverer of Altamira painting, was read before the Berlin Anthropological Society, refused to accept this theory. He could not believe that such a vital, highly developed art could perish so completely.

He recalled that Spain had once been part of Africa, which at the end of the Ice Age was a tropic land fertilized by a heavy rainfall from the melting of the glaciers. Perhaps in that flood period, prehistoric man had migrated from the Iberian peninsula southward and remains of his culture could be unearthed in Africa. Certain tribes of African bushmen still paint crude pictures on rocks. Might these not be the last living remnants of Ice Age culture? This scientific guess of Frobenius was the beginning of a long series of amazing discoveries.

The German-Inner-African Research Expedition organized by Frobenius sent out its first expedition in 1904. To date it has conducted over a dozen successive expeditions in Africa and in Europe on prehistoric sites. The story of these discoveries is closely related to Germany's imperialist thrust into Africa. But work did not stop even after the war and the German revolution. In 1923 the Research Institute for the Morphology of Civilization at Frankfurt-am-Main was founded. The present exhibition of prehistoric rock paintings at the Museum of Modern Art has been brought over from this institute's collection of over 35,000 facsimiles of prehistoric materials.

These discoveries now offer a survey of the distribution of prehistoric European art in Africa. They have not been without influence on European life and art. The peoples of Africa and Asia, subjected to ruthless exploitation and economic slavery during the stampede for markets, have had

a certain revenge. For just as the Romans, who brought back the eastern gods and arts of the vanquished tribes as spoils to decorate their palaces were in their turn conquered by them, so pre-war Europe was effected by the exotic arts of Africa and the East. The old African tribal gods, the savage rhythmic dances, the exotic handicrafts and magic art of Africa made a triumphal conquest of European art. Morocco, Tunis, Japan, China, India, the South Seas, the Argentine, Mexico, each in turn and finally Africa and prehistoric culture have all had their day in modernist painting. Like the drowning man, who in the last instant of consciousness reviews his whole life from his earliest childhood memories, so capitalist society was reviewing the whole history of mankind before plunging into the catastrophe of world war.

It is the timely aspect of this prehistoric painting which has led the Museum of Modern Art, devoted exclusively to contemporary culture, to hold this exhibition. To stress their point, they have included a room full of modernist painters where Miro, Masson, Klee, Arp, Lebedev, and Larionov demonstrate their apparent kinship to the oldest painting in the world. Certainly the newly discovered rock painting from the Libyan desert might at first glance be taken for the patterns of abstract surrealism, but at bottom the resemblance is superficial. For primitive painting was magic which had a practical function. Before setting forth to kill an animal, the hunter dramatized it pictorially. This was a magic rite to ensure success and to ward off the evil spirit of the slain animal. In the earliest culture, an attempt was made to approximate nature as closely as possible, no doubt to make the magic more efficacious. But later, perhaps due to haste and the fact that such a mural was immediately comprehensible to the tribe, a short-cut or stylization of the act occurred. Finally the original naturalistic representation



Franco-Cantabrian Style: ALTAMIRA, NORTH SPAIN

becomes a symbolic sign language approaching abstract terms. But the abstract pattern of such a rite retained the original content and was immediately decipherable. The content of modern surrealists is not at all comprehensible to their audience. Its symbolism drawn from the unconscious is often deeply personal and not communicable. Such incomprehensibility may be considered rather an evasion of social reality, which has become too chaotic and contradictory to face even in pictorial terms. The only practical function which surrealism so far has performed is the subjective reflection of the disintegration of bourgeois society. Thus there is no real analogy and certainly no organic relationship between pre-historic art and the latest manifestations of European art, which are entirely a product of their social milieu.

While bourgeois science has given us the material remains of a world that existed when Europe was covered with glaciers, it has not solved the meaning of these relics. Today we know how the man of the Ice Age lived, sheltered in caves, wandering nomadically following the trail of bear, elephant, elk, bison, wild horse, mammoth and reindeer, kept from penetrating northward by a glittering chain of glaciers. We know his ignorance of pottery and of agriculture, his skill at hunting, his weapons of flint, his amazing realistic art. Caves sealed since the dawn of time have opened to reveal his foot prints, his ochre-daubed hands on their walls, the flint palette knife stuck fast in the rock beside his mural, his paint box of earth colors and animal fats. From over 550 sites, relics of the Ice Age culture have been excavated and in 187 of them have been found engravings and paints on rock.

Yet no one has yet adequately explained how it happened that two totally diverse cultures existed contemporaneously so long ago. In Altamira and in the other caves of southern France and Spain we find a realistic and monumental art of animal portraiture. This polychrome painting in illusionist style with sharp contrasts between light and dark, has been termed *Franco-cantabrian* art. Weapons found with it are the most ancient known—the spear and dart. Once its influence extended as far north as England, as far east as South Russia and Siberia, and as far south as Africa.

But there was found on boulders and overhanging rocks in the open a totally different art, which has been labeled Eastern Spanish or *Levant* style. Here shadow silhouettes in monochrome, chiefly red earth, portray man in active movement—leaping, running, jumping, hunting. Although man is here the chief subject, the world is seen in the image of animal life

and of man's close relationship to it. Here the weapons depicted are always the bow and arrow of the tropics. Once it might have been assumed that these two styles derived from diverse cultures and could have developed in different epochs but in 1932, in the rocky wastes of Fezzan, Frobenius made one of the most important pre-historic discoveries of our time. Engraved on desolate high rock terraces, he found hundreds of mammoth portraits of elephants, lions, giraffes and other wild animals from ten to twelve feet high in the *Franco-cantabrian* style. Beside them chiseled deep in the stone were paintings of the *Levant* type. Further expeditions in 1933 and 1935 traced the spread of the *Levant* style of art at the Libyan desert, where pre-Egyptian paintings were found.

The resurrection of these two antipodal pre-historic cultures and the tracing of them from Europe to Africa, where they continued to survive side by side, is not only a matter of interest for anthropologists. A study of these two styles of mural painting from the dawn of mankind has an immediate practical interest for artists today. In their origin, both styles reveal an intense pre-occupation with the natural world. Here minute observation of the momentary aspects of reality emphasizes everything tactual. Form is a matter of contour; outlines are frequently engraved. These pre-historic artists knew and mastered many of the problems of modern art. They studied perspective, movement, chiaroscuro.

Nor were the great *Franco-cantabrian* murals hastily executed. Thirty years after the discovery of paintings in the Font-de-Gaume cave in France, the original sketches for the same murals, done on stones the size of a plate, were discovered in another cave. Developed *Franco-cantabrian* painting reveals an ever increasing plastic conception of animal life. Structure and muscular tension are dramatized through contrasts of light and dark spots and broken contour, so that a three dimensional art emerges, an art that does not slavishly imitate but seizes on the essential unity of the organism and renders it impressionistically. Naturally these early artists were not concerned with esthetics or expression of personality; their art, like their religion, as revealed in their painting, was highly purposive, intent on gaining "control of the sensory world." It expressed their life—with its unstable, nomadic, hunting economy.

While the purpose of *Levant* art must have been identical with that of the *Franco-cantabrian* art, it developed a totally different expression. *Franco-cantabrian* artists were familiar with many pigments such as ochre, brown iron, red iron, yellow



iron ochre, black manganese, and white chalk; *Levant* art is for the most part monochrome. Dealing with man as well as animals, it is much richer in movement, stressing always the tense, leaping, quick movements of both. It depicts both the action of animals and the *active* magic of man seeking to control them. The scale of its figures while larger in Africa than in Spain is always much smaller than the *Franco-cantabrian*.

In the Neo-lithic age, a new world came into being. The roving nomad of the Ice Age was no more. The glaciers had melted. The forests grew and plant life developed. The present European rivers came into being. Man began his long struggle to wrest subsistence from the earth itself. Hunting ceased to be the prime occupation when primitive agriculture developed. Fertility was essential, and as it could not be represented pictorially it was magically ensured through symbols. Animal painting is now replaced by amulets. Late *Levant* painting shows an increasing stylization and a tendency to become static. These so-called "degenerating" forms in which naturalistic rendition gradually becomes increasingly formal, marks a transition to a new form of social organization. The marvelous accuracy of natural observation for individual animals is replaced by group relationships in stylized patterns which tend toward the abstract. Representation of light, air, movement is avoided. By the time of the bronze age, art ceases to be realistic and seeks its ultimate goal in a static geometry. Egyptian art is the highest development of this tendency.

(Continued on page 18)



*Mural by
Jose Clemente Orozco
at Guadalajara,
Mexico*

*Dome and details
Photos by Ward
Montague*



FORM AND CONTENT IN ART

By F. D. Klingender

(Continued from May Issue)

5. War and the General Crisis of Capitalism.

We must clearly distinguish the successive phases of this final development leading to our present situation.

(a) *War and Post-War Crisis up to about 1923:*

A violent radicalization of the more contemplative movements of the preceding phase (with the exception, of course, of the futurists). Dadaism: radical destruction of both content and form soon leading to two divergent lines: psychological introspection and activist realism, in this phase the two are, however, still in the main linked to one another; the activists: Grosz, anarchist, at first allied to the proletarian class), Heartfield (political photomontage in the service of the proletarian movement), Dix (now a supporter of Hitler), Masereel. Activist Expressionism (Beckmann, etc.), Magico-Mysticism (Chagall, Klee, etc.). Abstract painting, constructivism, suprematism, "Merz," etc. (Kandinsky, Hölzel, the Russians Malevitch, El Lissitzki, Tatlin, etc., the Hungarians Moholy Nagy, Péri, etc., Mondrian, Schwitters, the later work of the French cubists and purists including Ozenfant, Le Corbusier, etc.). The English war painters (Nevinson, Nash, Lewis, etc.).

(b) *The Temporary Stabilization Period, approximately 1924-1930.*

Defeat of the revolution in the West and rationalization of monopolized industry with the collaboration of reformism, but failure of the intervention campaigns against the U.S.S.R. The tempestuous radicalism of the artists in the preceding phase no longer expressed the mentality of the now re-established ruling class. It was replaced by the reactionary, semi-mystical mannerism of the neo-realists and neo-classicists ("Neue Sachlichkeit": Kanold, Schrimpf, Scholz, etc., in Germany; Utrillo, Herbin, etc., in France; with the victory of fascism in Italy the remaining futurists on the whole adopted a semi-magical, "heroic," neo-classicist style) or else deflected into the safe channels of "paranoic" surrealism.

On the other hand the artists, writers, musicians, actors, etc., allied to the work-

ing class now began to realize that the former slogan-like, semi-anarchic activism no longer sufficed for the new tasks facing the proletarian mass movement and, while largely retaining the technical methods of the preceding era, commenced to develop a more convincing approach based on objective reportage and concrete demonstration (Brecht, Wolf, Péri, Heartfield, etc.).*

(c) *The World Crisis and its Aftermath.*

The successful accomplishment of economic and social reconstruction in the U.S.S.R. coincided with the final collapse of the illusion of capitalist stability. The political disunity of the Western working class enabled fascism to advance in Central Europe. The partial, temporarily restored unity of the capitalist world was again shattered. Violently antagonistic "national" interests are today already measuring their strength in the preliminary engagement of a new imperialist war. The menace of war and fascism is challenged by the growing unity of the working class in every part of the world.

In the countries of fascist reaction the progressive artists of the previous decades are treated as enemies of the state. "Heroic" neo-classicism, able to reproduce the racial and chauvinist "idealism" of the fascist appeal in a visual form intelligible to the petty bourgeois masses, reigns supreme.

In England the middle classes in the 1930 crisis for the first time experienced sufferings comparable to those of the continental middle classes during the post-war and inflation upheavals. As a result an art movement similar to the continental movements of 1920-23 is now making its appearance. In view of its epigonic character most of the works of this new English art resemble the calmer, culminating achievements of the continental artists (heralding the approaching sabtilization) more closely than their earlier, more definitely activist works. In a sense it is true to say that the development which on the continent was continuous was in England split into two temporarily distinct phases: the activist phase of the English war paint-

* Leaving the capitalist world we find that during the corresponding period of intense economic and social reconstruction in the U.S.S.R. the vital fusion of the new social content with the formal discoveries of the preceding phase culminated in the achievement of the great silent film and stage productions.

ers coinciding in time with continental activism was in this country in most instances succeeded by the mild naturalism of the so-called "post-impressionists" that reigned supreme for more than a decade before it was challenged by the present culminating phase of modernism emerging with the crisis of the 1930's. Though predominantly abstract, the new English movement has absorbed many surrealist elements and is in most cases a mixture of both.

The English artists (including theatrical and film producers) who today are consciously allying themselves to the working class are either adopting the activist manner of the continental early post-war phase (especially that of Grosz or the earliest Piscator productions), or else attempting in the light of later continental experience to create a new style—utilizing all technical achievements of modern art—that will be able to express the basic content of proletarian struggle in a fully convincing manner, appealing to the workers.*

III

We are now able to approach the problem of the significance of content and form in contemporary art, with which we commenced our discussion, in a more concrete manner.

The conquest of nature was the great historical mission of capitalism. By training the former small-scale producers in the discipline of collective work, by equipping the new working class with the tools of modern science and industry and thus raising the productivity of man at a hitherto unheard of rate, capitalism produced the material conditions required for the successful establishment of a free, classless, society. This progressive rôle of the bourgeoisie was embodied in the mechanical materialism of nineteenth century science and in the objective realism culminating in the art of Courbet.

Once the technical revolution was achieved, as it was in the France of the 1870s, the continued existence of capitalist accumulation with its symptoms of perma-

* It is a fatal mistake to approach the present phase of Russian art with critical conceptions derived from the contemporary capitalist world. With the successful accomplishment of the great Five-Year Plans a whole people is for the first time in history (excepting primitive society) enabled to show a vital interest in art. The temporary prevalence of academic form (despite the

ment poverty for the great mass of the population and of the rapid enrichment of a small minority (symptoms indispensable for its specific task of rapidly increasing the productivity of human labor) ceased to be a historical necessity. The capitalist class joined the forces of reaction, the proletariat took its place as the vanguard of human progress.

The historical task of the proletariat is the solution of the problem of human relations in society: the abolition of class exploitation and the transfer of the means of production to society that alone enables the new mastery over nature to be applied for increasing the welfare of all. Thus the next step in the *content* sphere of art is the step from the objective interpretation of nature to the active transformation of social reality (a step corresponding to the replacement of mechanical by dialectic, historical, materialism). The defeat of the Commune and the social ostracism of the progressive bourgeois artists who had dared openly to support it (the campaign of deliberate calumny of which Courbet was the victim, a campaign persisting even in recent art historical literature, has its equal only in the heresy hunts of the medieval inquisition), for a long time delayed this step.

The development of modern art from impressionism to abstract form has, I submit, the following twofold significance: in the first place it embodies the ever more frantic flight from content, *i.e.*, from social reality, from all reality whatever, of the retrogressive capitalist class. Even during the imperialist era, however, the scientific and technical conquest of nature continued to proceed at a rapid rate, although its fruits could not increase the welfare of man, were in fact applied to the more efficient destruction of man, as long as it remained fettered to the interests of monopoly capitalism. In the same way the progressive energies of the artists, barred as they were by their service to the bourgeoisie from the vital sphere of content,

new content!) in many Russian works of the present time is due in part to the necessarily slow process of raising the artistic consciousness of the great mass of the people above the level embodied in the icon (corresponding to our own 12th century art), in part to the incredible increase in the demand for art that makes it necessary to utilize the heritage in craftsmanship possessed by the old pre-revolutionary artists for the purpose of training the rising generation, and finally to the natural feeling of jubilant relief at the moment of victory, when the masses are for the first time in a position to enjoy the goods of this world. Nevertheless, inspiring signs, heralding the emergence of a new and vital style, are clearly visible, not merely in the present-day theatre and cinema, but also in painting (Deineka, Pimenov, etc.). A full discussion of this problem will be found in my article "Art in the U.S.S.R." in the October issue of "The Eye."

found an escape in the revolutionary transformation of the technique of perception, of artistic communication, of form, a transformation embodying all the optical, acoustic, mechanical achievements of modern science (the conquest of light and color, photography, the film, steel and concrete construction, etc.). It is only necessary to look at any ordinary news reel in order to realize how greatly our most elementary responsiveness to shape and sound has been enlarged by the technical discoveries of modern art. Nevertheless, as long as this technical revolution remains fettered to the escape from content of a decaying class, to the extent that it submits to the negation of content, modern art inevitably sinks to the level of pure experimentation for the discovery of new facts concerning the psycho-physical response of the human organism at the present time to different types of shape and color patterns: to the level, in fact, of the kaleidoscope and of the Montessori bricks. Far from achieving the emancipation of art, the destruction of con-

tent necessarily leads to the destruction also of form—a climax epically symbolized in the white square painted on a white canvas of square shape by the suprematist Malevitch. In its final decay the capitalist class destroys its art, as it destroys its science.

Impoverished, reduced to the income level of the skilled factory hand, or unemployed, the scientist, the technician, the artist increasingly comes to see in the working class of today the standard bearer of all human progress. Transfused with the vital energy of the new social content the technical achievements of modern art will at last lead to an undreamed of enrichment of human experience.

EDITORIAL NOTE

The next issue of ART FRONT, the August-September number, will be ready about the middle of September. We ask all our readers who are not subscribers to fill out the blank on the last page.



Shuffle Board: DAVID DOVGARD
Courtesy Cooperative Gallery, Newark

BOOKS

MARXISM?

ART AND SOCIETY, *Herbert Read, Macmillan, \$4.00.*

Mr. Lewis Mumford and Mr. Kenneth Burke, writing of this book, have agreed that it is exceptionally exasperating. I always enjoyed agreeing with Mr. Mumford and Mr. Burke, gentle as they are, and in this case I agree completely and then a little more. Art and Society purports to be a Marxist survey of the relations of the plastic arts to the individual and group activities of man, and as such it is about as misleading as could well be conceived.

If ever a Gibbon was needed in the world, he is needed in English high bohemia today. The lush heresies of the early church are but feeble things in comparison with the intellectual air-plants and man-eating orchids that flourish in that quaint hothouse. Mr. Read has managed to get a fair statistical sample of them, if not all, into a book about Art. He calls this Dialectics, you know, the contradiction of the contradiction, thesis, antithesis and synthesis. Sometimes I feel that, going to meetings working on Projects, and biting policemen, we forget to feel strongly enough about the terrifying situation a lot of our leading intellects have got themselves into, particularly in the No Man's Land of the British salon system. And we should feel strongly about it, the casualties have been dreadful. Look at Wyndham Lewis. On the other hand, look at Mr. Read.

The argument of the early part of the book is a little misty and bergsonian and intuitive, but in so far as it is definite, it is based on a notion of primitive mentality so exploded and so obviously chauvinistic, that even a former contributor to the Criterion should have known better. Mr. Read quotes extensively from Lucien Levy-Bruhl's "How Natives Think." Levy-Bruhl's thesis is, simply stated, that primitive, or rather non-European man generally, possesses only a "prelogical mind," fundamentally irrational and compulsive, that he lives in a solid world out of which personal and non-personal, group and individual, causal and supernatural elements are not, and *cannot be* analyzed. "To him there is but one (world). Every reality, like every influence, is mystic, and consequently, every perception is mystic." Marxists, holding a unified world view, are naturally well disposed towards "revolts against dualism," but there are some monists who come like Greeks bearing gifts, and it is sometimes desirable to look gift horses in the mouth, they may turn out to be Trojan horses. The American anthropologist, Boas, and following him, Paul Radin, have conclusively shown both the imperialist bias of Levy-Bruhl's argument and the falsity of his evidence. Prelogical Man is as exploded a scientific hypothesis as the geocentric solar system or the theory of humours. And furthermore, it is recognized as part of that melange of superstitions and prejudices which has formed the intellectual base of fascism.

Mr. Read also treats prehistoric and contemporary uncivilized culture as one problem, capable of ambivalent explanation. He leaps at will from the Altamira cave paintings to line-cuts by Jake (aged 7) to Melanesian New Guinea, constantly stressing anti-intellectual, "mystic" and chance elements in the emergence of art. It is hardly necessary to point out that we know absolutely nothing of the religion, mentality or folk-



*Along the Waterfront: DAVID ROBBINS
Courtesy W.P.A. Photo Project*

ways of prehistoric man, that the highly rationalized and systematic art of Papua has no more to do with the creations of Jake (aged 7) than has Raphael's Stanze. Generalizations about primitive people await a long process of further collection and digestion of data, and there is no more dangerous generalization than that which lumps the people of any tribe, let alone all primitive people, into one undifferentiated stew of "primitive mentality." Dr. Radin has shown that there are as many attitudes toward so-called magical procedures in any tribe as there are attitudes towards science and religion in contemporary civilized society.

As Kenneth Burke points out, Levy-Bruhl and Freud are used by Mr. Read to develop a theory of an "artist personality" distinctly different in degree if not in kind from that of the ordinary man's. This of course is just the issue of aesthetics. "Aesthetic" problems are inextricably entwined with the fundamental questions of being, willing and thinking, they must enter into the discussion of rational life at the very beginnings and not be placed at the end and exercised with the fancy logomancy of Freudian terminology. It is difficult to imagine a more convenient evasion of the whole problem.

For a supposedly Marxist work, Art and Society is remarkably barren of any discussion of the function of art and its emergence in the daily life of people as a whole. There is no, or little, mention of the methods, instruments and relations of production accompanying any cultural period, and class relations are filtered through the very treacherous screen of religious patterns. Doubtless such an approach simplifies matters

considerably, but its reliability is open to question.

A little over half way through the book the surrealist african emerges from the woodpile in full panoply, brandishing of all things, Pareto. Leaning heavily on Pareto's theory of "elites," Mr. Read advances the thesis that the artist has, throughout the nineteenth century, concentrated more and more exclusively on expressive (mystical) elements in painting and has now ceased to have any identification with, or appeal to, the common people. That significant painting in the twentieth century has become the concern of a limited "elite," the artists and their friends and patrons, recruited largely from the ruling class. That popular art has gone on its own way, realistic, and immediate, and practical in its appeal. That, although this division is especially marked today, it has only been more or less hidden in the past. "The artist," says Read, "stands in psychological opposition to the crowd . . . (his) very acuteness of perception . . . is purchased at the price of maladaptation, of nonconformity and revolt." (Shades of Lombroso and Nordau! Just about everything is wrong with this thesis.) The central assumption is, as Burke pointed out, both a fallacy and an evasion. It is not how the artist differs that makes him an artist, it is his ability to sublimate the typical, social experience into new realms of value. Further, the classical tradition in modern painting, *i.e.*, that culminating in cubism and related movements, has immense popular appeal, as the most casual glance at commercial and industrial art will show. Artists as abstract as Mondrian have profoundly influenced industrial design, particularly, in his

case, in typography, layout, and architecture. Commercial artists like MacKnight Kauffer are internationally famous as artists and at the same time well paid by quite practical advertisers. The long struggle of 19th century french painting was essentially a violent revolution against parvenu wealth, and most every painter of that period believed that if he could once break through the wall of tasteless connoisseurs that separated him from the "common people" and present his case, he would be saved. It took a generation to get Van Gogh through this barrier, the crowds that attended the exhibition two years ago disprove any "theory of elites." Finally, do we have to point out again that only in societies dominated by the "cash nexus" is the artist an abnormality. He is simply considered crazy because he is not primarily interested in making money out of other people's work. In New Guinea or Florence the artist was a respected member of the community. Everybody knows this and I don't see how Mr. Read could have overlooked it.

The book ends with a few faint damns for functionalist and abstract art, a criticism of Socialist Realism as presented by Radek and Bukharin and an apotheosis of surrealism. Inasmuch as abstract and fundamentalist art is the only contemporary art which has made the transition from the atelier to the factory, print shop and drafting room, criticism at this late date can't hurt it. If you don't want to call us artists we don't care, you can have the label for what it cost us. Radek's presentation of Socialist Realism, and to a slighter extent Bukharin's, seem to have been purposely as outrageous as possible, designed to drive the most articulate artists of the Soviet Union to desperation. Intellectual is sometimes just as effective as industrial wrecking, and much harder to check upon. Fortunately all this is a thing of the past and Mr. Putnam's definition of Socialist Realism in the March ART FRONT leaves room for everybody from Moholy-Nagy to Soutine. As for Freud and surrealism, I don't like to hurt anybody's feelings, but I can't discuss Ids and Supergos with a straight face. When I read, "the laws of logic, above all the law of contradiction, do not hold for processess in the Id," I turn to Thurber.

To sum up. The book is through and through irrational, intuitive, idealistic and aristocratic. If sentences like, "the sensational awareness of the ego is brought into direct contact with the Id and from that "seething cauldron" snatches some archetypal form, some instinctive association of words, images or sounds which constitutes the basis of the work of art" do not represent the grossest idealism, a sort of unholy Freudian neoplatonism, I can't recognize the beast. Nothing could show the inadequacy of our instruments and research in the field of real aesthetics more clearly than this continued mystagogy in the psychology of art.

Art, to Mr. Read, is a peculiar, fundamentally distinct mode of knowledge, an irrational apprehension of unanalyzable experience. In spite of monistic professions he thus establishes two fields of reality, the "real" world of everyday experience and the idealistic realm of subconscious "forces."

The world is through and through either one or the other, it cannot be both rational and irrational. It is the belief of dialectical materialism that it is rational, in the limited sense of being orderly, and orderly *in the same way* as the human mind, because the mind is only a focused part of that one, real world.

The chapter on Art and Education reveals the platonizing bias of Read's thought. There is a great deal of discussion of the special training of an elite of artists, and an elite that would have filled the clean, orderly mind of Plato with horror. Thus, "the Republic of those days would then have to decide how many artists it wanted for its social economy, and according to that quota, a certain proportion would be selected and trained accordingly. *Naturally it would be a training without any ideological or intellectual bias.*"!!! (My italics.) Has Mr. Read never heard of the "withering away of the state," of Lenin's "universal man" capable of running a lathe, managing a state, painting a picture or writing a poem? Has he ever heard of the "democratization of art"? What does he think this is all about anyway?

Kenneth Rexroth.

EXHIBITIONS

Harlem Artists Guild Exhibits

The Harlem Artists' Guild has just concluded its first group exhibition at the American Artists' School Gallery. This vigorous organization, whose program originally concerned itself with the fostering of Negro rights in the field of culture, has broadened out to defend the interests of all artists regardless of color. Building up from less than a dozen members in March, 1935, the Guild membership at present numbers about ninety artists.

Twenty-three of the Guild members participated in the exhibition. Henry W. Bannarn showed good work in oil, water-color and sculpture, with the highly animated canvas, "Skaters," taking the palm. Aaron Douglas showed four pictures, with "Landscape" capturing most interest because of the sound designing of repeated verticals and horizontals to give the sense of restfulness.

Vertis Hayes showed several canvases with his characteristic harmonies of reticent greys; Ronald Joseph had standouts in "The Clown," "Bus" and "Madonna"; Charles Alston's "Girl in Red Dress" made a striking arrangement in color shapes.

Other exhibitors were John Ingless Atkinson, Romare Beardon, Gwen Bennett, Howard G. Brooks, Coleman, Ernie Crichlow, Edgar Evans, Carl G. Hill, Burt Jackson, Gwen Knight, Jacob Lawrence, Richard Lindsey, Norman, Frederick Perry, Evangeline St. Claire, John Sollace Glenn, Lewis Vaughn and Ellis Wilson.

Unemployed Artists in Large Show


Unemployed New York artists gave ample proof of their quality and quantity in the recently concluded exhibition at the New School for Social Research. Sponsored by the Artists' Union, the show covered several floors and revealed the startling number of excellent talents who have no means of income and are in most cases completely destitute. People would be surprised at the large number of names familiar to gallery goers.

At this moment when the entire project is in imminent danger of curtailment, the wisdom of fighting for more jobs for the unemployed becomes apparent. This exhibition gives the lie to those who try to put the stigma of incompetence on the unemployed and reveals the arbitrary manner in which the whole problem is handled by the administration.


Photography as Art and Document

The old arguments about whether or not photography was art and like questions seem very remote at the moment. Spurred on by recent developments in the cinema, advertising art and the Museum of Modern Art photography show, to say nothing of its own inherent qualities as a mass art, photography has swept the land and seems here to stay.

It is no wonder, then, that the Photographic Division of the Federal Art Project has put on the first independent showing of this section at the Federal Art Gallery in New York. It needed a bit of prodding from the Artists' Union Public Use of Art Committee to convince the administration that photographs could be allocated like other art works, with trade unions the most likely candidates for prints. But the administration got the point with commendable promptness



Construction: THOMAS NAGAI



Float constructed by Siqueiros Experimental Work Shop, May Day Parade, 1937.

and added a Creative Division to the already established Service Division.

Aron Egel devoted all of his plates to studies of old Hebrew scholars and devout old women performing their traditional rituals; David Robbins went along the waterfront for his tragic commentary; Cyril Mipaas arranged several photo-montages entitled "Theme and Variations"; George Herlick did city scenes with the accent on street vendors; Andrew Herman concentrated on the Sewing Project, getting some fine shots of workers' hands; Mark Nadir composed lonely patterns from the bleak structures of the city.

Project Painters in Union Show

At the initiative of the Artists Union, the easel painters of the Federal Art Project displayed their non-project work on the fourth and fifth floors of the New School for Social Research. If any further argument were needed to stress the high quality of most of the project artists, this recently concluded show should hammer home that point with the force of a pile driver.

The importance of the art project is evident in the flowering of a considerable number of fine young painters and the rejuvenation of some of the older ones. The show at the New School featured work by both categories.

Outstanding paintings include those by Joseph de Martini, Louis Harris, Louis Nisonoff, Ben Benn, Miron Sokole, Jennings Tofel, Otto Botto, Joseph Solman, Adolph Gottlieb, Ary Stillman, Max Schnitzler, Harold Baumbach, Jules Hal-fant, James Lechay, Nicholas Luisi, Maurice Sievan, Saul Weinstock, Moses Oley, Geri Pine, Thomas Nagai, Louis Ribak, Zoltan Hecht, A. Tromka, Vincent Campanella, Nicholas Takis, Bruce Mitchell, Jean Liberte, H. Abramson, Douglas Taylor, John Oppen, Victor Thal, Carl Jacoby, James Guy, Ferdinand Lo Pinto and several others.

J. K.

Art for Subways

On October 5th, or perhaps 6th, 1937, Project and Union artists will open an exhibition of art for subways. This undertaking is being sponsored by the Public Use of Art Committee of the Artists' Union of New York.

SOME LETTERS

(Continued from page 7)

it any traces of thought, there lay hidden, of course, the indications of the level of civilization reached by the critics of cultural projects. They acknowledged the need of keeping the ditch-digger from starvation—somebody will always have to do the hard physical work of the world. But everything and everybody not needed to produce the "necessities" of the world can really be dispensed with. As for teaching people out of work how to improve their minds, or to find relief from idleness in some new form of expression, or developing a latent talent, that was considered by many to be too silly for words.

It is unnecessary in this company, where it is well known, to recite the list of admirable projects started in every part of the country in the various fields already referred to. The important question is now how are we going to keep up the best of this good work?

*Public Use of Art Committee,
Artists' Union
New York City*

We have noted, in a recent article of the New York Times, your organization's interest in the encouragement of public use of art. We are endeavoring to follow similar educational programs in Cleveland. We will be pleased if, from time to time, you will keep us informed on any of the more successful phases of your studies and programs.

*H. E. Varga,
Director of Parks and Public Property,
City of Cleveland.*

*Public Use of Art Committee,
Artists' Union,
New York, New York.*

We have watched with great interest the growth and work of the W.P.A. Art Committee. As trade unionists, we wish to express our sincere appreciation and evaluation for the potentialities of the vital art program as planned and executed. It is aiding and can aid greatly in the pictorial development of the America which our forefathers dreamed and which the hardy pioneers visualized.

We go on record, requesting that the work of this committee be continued and augmented to more adequately record the truly American scene.

**BROTHERHOOD OF
SLEEPING CAR PORTERS**
*International Field Organizer.
B. F. McLaurin,*

*Public Use of Art Committee,
Artists' Union
New York City*

The art exhibit of Federal Art Project works shown in our union has had distinct social and educational effectiveness. The membership has registered its appreciation of the exhibit and thoroughly enjoyed the opportunity to see works of art so close at hand.

We should like, also, to acknowledge the co-operation of the Artists Union of New York, without whose efforts the exhibition could not have been presented, and the valuable assistance of the Artist Union's Public Use of Art Committee, who had selected pictures that were of particular interest to our union. We heartily endorse the idea of a permanent Federal Art Project through which we could continue to bring before our membership exhibits of art which will contribute so largely to their cultural life.

*Jacob Rosenburg,
President Local 802,
American Federation of Musicians.*

*Public Use of Art Committee
Artists' Union
New York City*

Having been acquainted with the work of the W.P.A. Committee for the Public Use of the Arts, I wish to express my belief in the great value of the research it is doing both for the

Every Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday evening during the Summer the gallery will be open to the public from 8 P.M. to 10 P.M. Although no one-man shows will be held, there will always be an interesting group of oils, water colors and drawings on exhibition.

The gallery will resume its regular schedule in October.

THE ARTISTS GALLERY
33 West 8th Street New York, N. Y.

BOCOUR

The only hand ground artist's color made in America. No filler or extender used. The purest pigments are ground by hand in the purest oils. This insures permanency and power.

Used by leading artists.

BOCOUR

Hand Ground Artists' Colors

2 West 15th Street
New York City

Colors made on the premises. Your inspection is invited.

ROBERT ROSENTHAL, Incorporated

41 EAST 8TH STREET, NEW YORK CITY • Just West of Broadway

Telephone STuyvesant 9-2412-3

MAIL ORDERS PROMPTLY ATTENDED TO

**ARTISTS' MATERIALS
of Every Description**

By patronizing ART FRONT advertisers you are assuring yourself quality, quantity, service.

"ORDERS SHIPPED ANYWHERE"

JOSEPH TORCH

145 WEST 14th STREET NEW YORK CITY

Between 6th and 7th Avenues

Tel., CHelsea 3-3534

Artist Materials

Full line of Artists
Materials at the
Right Prices

Orders shipped out-of-
town PROMPTLY

**Elting Paint
Supply Co.**

SPECIAL REDUCTION on Pint and Quart
jars of Poster Paint, Brushes, Canvas, etc.
(If canvas is purchased here, no extra
charge for stretching)

Orders Delivered

22 Greenwich Avenue

near W. 10th St.

Telephone: GRamercy 7-1902

**durex
GESSO PANELS**

Are used by all leading tempera painters
Send for our catalogue, containing useful
technical information.

DUREX ART CO.

317 East 14th Street

Phone GRamercy 5-9574

FALCOR FRAMING

CARVING & GILDING OUR SPECIALTY
FRAMES OF ALL DESCRIPTIONS

Mats Made to Order

51 East 9th Street

New York

CANVAS FOR PERMANENCE

DANIEL'S

Whistler Canvas
Painted with zinc white

16 WAVERLY PLACE

GRamercy 7-6825

Manufactured on
the premises.

ARTISTS' MATERIALS
of every description

artists in giving them a wider outlet and closer
connection with social need, and for the public.

While the result will be important for public
health and mental hygiene I wish to speak espe-
cially of its great potential worth for the schools.

John Dewey.

*Public Use of Art Committee,
Artists' Union
New York City*

I heartily approve of the expansion of the Fed-
eral Art Projects to include the development of
sculpture-mosaics and sculptured terra cotta re-
liefs in the subways of New York. This would
show the visitors to the 1939 World's Fair how
cultural minded and advanced the city of New
York is, artistically as well as otherwise.

It would take away the cold-blooded freight
yard and dismal aspect of our subways and lend
a touch of beauty that would make hundreds of
thousands of human beings conscious of art who
never would come in contact with it otherwise.

It is an experiment very well worth trying and
I personally feel it would be a great success.

William Zorach.

*Public Use of Art Committee
Artists' Union
New York City*

The Federal Art Project must be commended
for its support of artists who manifest a real
concern with new and vital subject matter. It
is this type of cooperative work which will create
a new public for the American artist; the great
mass of American people, the natural inheritors
of a national culture.

The Transport Workers Union fully endorses
the idea of a permanent Federal Art Project.

Michael Quill.

PREHISTORIC ROCK PICTURES

(Continued from page 11)

While both Crete and Greece were later
to create a new naturalistic art focussed
on organic form, it was not until centuries
later that the depiction of animal life could
achieve, on another social level, the in-
credible vitality and truth of the art of
the Ice Age.

Perhaps the future is not distant when
Marxist science will unravel the mysteries
of pre-historic human relationships and
thus lay bare the social roots out of which
grew these two diverse styles in pre-his-
toric culture. Engels was the first to at-
tempt an interpretation of the social or-
ganization of primitive man. In our day
Freud has sought to reconstruct his primi-
tive mentality. Bourgeois science has as-
sembled all this vast material out of the
distant past. But as yet no one has charted
the laws by which the pendulum in art
swings from the pole of representation to
the pole of abstraction and back again or
how those changes relate to changes in the
body of society itself.

NOT
*Permanency at
all costs*

BUT
*Permanency at a
price you can pay*

**THE BEST AT ANY PRICE
AT THE BEST PRICE**

permanent pigments

Available in New York at

Cooper Union Supply Store
Third Avenue and Seventh Street

Sam Flax
38 East 28th Street

Grand Central Artists Materials
55 Vanderbilt Avenue

Lee's Art Shop
209 West 57th Street

Stencil Novelty
67 Fifth Avenue

PERMANENT PIGMENTS

Oil-Water Colors-and in powder

LEE'S ART SHOP


209 WEST 57th STREET

Next to the Art Students League

Circle 7-0679

Artist Materials
Picture Framing

Agents for Lecole Metal Easels
\$13.98 F.O.B.



Tel.: ALgonquin 4-9871

JOSEPH MAYER CO.
Artist Materials

5 UNION SQ

NEW YORK CITY



ARTISTS' COLORS AND MATERIALS
USED BY LEADING ARTISTS, COAST TO COAST
Manufactured and Imported by

ERWIN M. RIEBE CORPORATION

159 East 60th Street, Opposite Bloomingdales
Telephone REgent 4-4721

New York City

5 East 8th Street, Hotel Brevoort Bldg.
Telephone GRamercy 5-9783

Please mention ART FRONT to our advertisers



GREAT GUNS! ! !

Such genius of some for combining odds and ends into one resplendent unity.

THAT IS WHAT WE EXCEL IN

You choose the style and we reconcile a \$45.00 tweed to a \$20.00 customer.

Ah, yes, customer, dear customer, we're stocked to the chandeliers with all the garnishments it takes to give that elegant veneer.

LOOK! ! !

SHEPHERD TWEEDS
IMPORTED LINENS
GABARDINES
DUNKIRK TROPICALS
SPORT JACKETS
AND SLACKS—TOO

AND REMEMBER! ! ! !

(may these epic lines go down in history)

"IF IT'S MADE . . . IF IT'S REASON-
ABLE . . . IF IT'S GOOD ' ' ' IF IT'S
FEASIBLE . . .

It's at ROTHMAN'S

\$6.50

Harry Rothman

70 BLEECKER ST.
Cor. Broadway
NEW YORK CITY
Open Sundays Till 4

\$25.00

AMERICAN ARTISTS SCHOOL

— a cooperative, progressive school, whose purpose is to develop independent thinkers as well as competent artists.

Day, evening, week-end classes. Life, painting, sculpture, graphic arts, mural workshop. Individual instruction. Tuition \$3 a month and up. Write or phone for catalog.

SUMMER CLASSES NOW STARTING

131 West 14th Street, New York City
CHelsea 3-9621

Tel. GRamercy 5-7188

P. LEWIS & CO.

115 E. 23rd St.

We Buy and Sell

**BACK NUMBER MAGAZINES
BOOKS and MUSIC**

A LARGE SELECTION OF MOVIE STILLs
bet. 4th and Lexington Aves., New York City

Clay, Plasticum, Plastalena
Sculptors, Schools supplied since 1867

Stewart Clay Co.

629 East 16th Street
New York City

Patronize your own

WORKERS CENTER BARBER SHOP

50 East 13th Street New York

**YOU ARE ALWAYS SURE OF OB-
TAINING THE MOST DELICIOUS
FOOD, MOST LIBERAL PORTIONS, AT
THE MOST REASONABLE PRICE .**

at the

Fifth Ave. Cafeteria

BETWEEN 14th and 15th STREETS

CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

Seven cents a word. Forty cents a line. Fifteen words for a dollar (minimum insertion).

ART INSTRUCTION

PRIVATE INSTRUCTION in drawing and painting techniques from pre-Raphaelite to Renoir. Six years European experience. Novoa, 104 W. 52nd, N. Y. City.

BOOKS WANTED

WHAT Thorne Smith Books have you and how much do you want for them? L.S., Art Front.

PERSON WANTED to share north skylight studio; excellent building; 210 W. 14th (near 7th Ave.); \$10 a month; call between 9 A.M. and 4 P.M., Tuesdays or Wednesdays. R. Furness.

RESTAURANT

KATZ'S RESTAURANT, 207 East 14th St. (opposite Jefferson Theatre. Seven course meal for fifty-five cents. Russian-Jewish cooking.

CAMERA WANTED

NEED 6x9 or 9x12 cm. Voigtlander Avus or Maximar. Describe in detail. Box 20, Art Front.

WANTED!

American Smokes for American Boys Fighting Fascism in Spain

Send cigarettes, chocolates and cash for the Americans of the
ABRAHAM LINCOLN BATTALION

The boys are fighting for you, make life pleasanter for them.

Do your bit for the boys who are doing more than their bit to save the world from Hitler-Mussolini-Franco Terror

FRIENDS OF THE ABRAHAM LINCOLN BATTALION

125 West 45th Street

Room 810

Lackawanna 4-4175

CENTRAL ART SUPPLY CO.

62 Third Avenue,

Near 11th St.

New York

The Bargain Store for the
Artists . . . Open Evenings
Until 9 p. m.

"NO PASARAN"

A Medical Worker Writes from Spain

Last night it was Dr. Goland's birthday. We made a party at the American Casa. I made rounds and came to see how things were going at the party. We had just given Dr. Goland his birthday present, which consisted of one dozen tooth brushes each in the center of a cup cake with bristles exposed and blue ribbon tied at each, when at twelve midnight the lights went out. We heard the roar of planes. There was a long silence in the room. I spoke. I said I was going to the hospital. Dr. Bloom shouted, "If you think anything of your life don't go." Dr. Barsky said he was going to the hospital. I ran to Hospital No. 3 on the Valencia Road, Dr. Barsky to Hospital No. 1, and Dr. Odio to Hospital No. 2. I stayed outside the door of the hospital searching the brilliantly studded starry sky for a sight of the planes, but they were too high and had no lights. They circled overhead many times, they came lower and lower and the sound of the motors became louder and louder. I ran into the hospital only to find some of the Spanish enfermos in hysterics. They could not be blamed, they who so many times have been terrorized by the lousy tactics of the fascists, and whose minds reflected the fatalities of such terrorism, and whose fathers, brothers, sweethearts and husbands died on the battlefield singing the Internationale as their last strength ebbed out for the cause of democracy and love for humanity—could they be blamed for hysteria when they realized what was coming? What right had I to be frightened—

I who had just tasted what they have long lived through? With my heart pounding almost as loudly as the roar of the motors above, I spoke to them. I told them they must be brave. I told them that they must comfort their brothers of Spain who are lying in bed helpless, most of them unable to move. I felt strong and stern—what did it matter, our lives to be sacrificed for so many that they may continue to live in peace. They clung to me with an almost deadly grip, kissed me and dried their tears. The crash—you cannot—never can anyone realize the horror of what seems like the earth opening beneath you—the light of the magnesium flare bomb to see if they struck right—and then eight more crashes—then silence, too long, and shrapnel flying in all directions. I ran to Hospital No. 1, then to Hospital No. 2, then back to my post where I found all crying silently. I made them all go to sleep and stayed on alone. Later in the morning Dr. Sorrel took the post with me.

You ask me to write of the Spanish people. All I can say is that they are the most simple, most grateful and most lovable people in the world. They are first starting to realize condition in Spain and the world, but I am isolated from them. I am with the soldiers—how can I write more about them?


I judge the spirit by the soldiers. An ambulance came in with 30 wounded. We started to take care of the wounds and bandage them before we put them into bed. A young Spaniard

(he must have been 16 years old at the most) became impatient. He took out his penknife cut open the palm of his hand and removed the superficial inflicted bullet (all this without our knowledge). Then he held up his wounded right hand in a salute, and holding the penknife in the other with the bloody bullet, shouted, "Comrade medico, salute." And holding his head high he continued and shouted, "No pasaran!" In Spanish "No pasaran" means that Franco shall not pass. The bullet, you see, did not pass through the palm of his hand. It was in but not deeply imbedded. How's that for spirit!

I should love to have newspapers. Also literature. Answer soon and I shall look for time to write more often. Tell ma not to worry. I have received a medal—a star and stripe.

Lots of love to all,

Rose.



watch for the fall ART FRONT

Out September 15th

More pages

New format

Two-color original

LITHOGRAPHS OR WOODCUTS BY LEADING
AMERICAN ARTISTS.

And Many Other New Features.

\$1.00 per year—\$1.40 foreign

ART FRONT

430 Sixth Ave.

New York, N.Y.

Enclosed please find _____ for _____ year(s)
subscription to ART FRONT.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____